

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Pagent and Trademark Office -Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. BO. 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/333,806	06/15/1999	SHMUEL SHAFFER	99-P-7652-US	4529
7590 11/15/2005			EXAMINER	
SIEMENS CO		CALLAHAN, PAUL E		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 186 WOOD AVENUE SOUTH			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ISELIN, NJ 08	ISELIN, NJ 08830			
			DATE MAILED: 11/15/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/333,806	SHAFFER ET AL				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Paul Callahan	2137				
The MAILING DATE of this communication apports Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY	A SET TO EVEIDE 2 MONTH/	S) OR THIRTY (30) DAVS				
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Ma	av 2005					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	*	secution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,11 and 20</u> is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>6-10 and 12-19</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	-					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/333,806

Art Unit: 2137

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 5-29-2005 has been entered.
- 2. Claims 1-20 remain pending in this application and have been examined.

Terminal Disclaimer

3. The terminal disclaimer filed on 5-29-2005 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of 6,145,083 has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/333,806 Page 3

Art Unit: 2137

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 6-10, and 12-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miller et al. US Patent 5,550,968, Aug. 27, 1996, and Pinard et al. US Patent 5,533,110.

As per claims 6-8, 12, and 19. Miller teaches a method for providing a graphical user interface (GUI) in a computer; providing a client window within said GUI; manually placing said client window into a guest mode; and locking a guest user into said client window by preventing unauthorized use of functions of said computer external to said client window in said guest mode, and a means for releasing the preventing or locking means, in the Abstract, col. 2 lines 30-67, col. 3 lines 30-67, and col. 4 lines 39-67. Miller does not explicitly teach prevention of the cursor from exiting the window and accessing such functions as maximizing and demaximizing a window, however such a feature is taught by IBM Technical Disclosure Bulletin, March 1985, Vol. 27, Issue 10B, page 6126. Additionally, Miller does not explicitly teach operation of a ToL communications client window within a Graphical User Interface and user icons, however Pinard et al. does teach this feature in figures 1 and 2, and in col. 2 lines 9-22.

Application/Control Number: 09/333,806 Page 4

Art Unit: 2137

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the features as disclosed by IBM, and the steps of Miller into the system of Pinard. It would have been desirable to do so as this would allow for greater security of use of the ToL system by a user. For example, prevention of unauthorized access to the controls of a ToL interface within a GUI could prevent unauthorized long distance calls, or access to user records, while still allowing certain types of calls, e.g., local or emergency calls. Pinard teaches such a motive to combine the teachings in col. 1 lines 10-51 where user control over connectivity control functions for select types of calls is described. The teachings of Miller and IBM provide extra security and control over control functions displayed on a GUI of the type employed by Pinard.

As per claims 9 and 10, Miller teaches setting a password to determine whether a user is authorized to access said other functions, in col. 2 lines 49-67.

As per claims 13-18, these claims are directed towards the apparatus carrying out the method of claims 1-12 and are therefore rejected on the same basis as claims 1-12.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 1-5, 11, and 20 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 09/333,806 Page 5

Art Unit: 2137

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul E. Callahan whose telephone number is (571) 272-3869. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 9 to 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Emmanuel Moise, can be reached on (571) 272-3865. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is: (571) 273-8300.

11/05/2005

Paul Callaha

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER